

WAVERLEY BOROUGH COUNCIL

PROSECUTION POLICY

Waverley Borough Council has a zero tolerance policy towards fraud, corruption and bribery. ~~This is committed~~ commitment ~~ed~~ to preventing fraud and corruption is reinforced through the and has development of our the Council's ed an 'Anti-Fraud, Anti-Corruption and Anti-Bribery Strategy' in order to prevent and minimise its occurrence.

The Council will constantly review and monitor its systems and amend procedures as required.

This policy does not supersede other internal disciplinary codes implemented by the Council, and internal offenders (e.g. Council employees or elected Members) will be subject to general disciplinary procedures in addition to potential prosecution. Where the offender is a contractor or subcontractor the eCouncil would potentially prosecute, and this could result in the cessation of the relevant contract.

GENERAL

The Council's policy on fraud is to:

- ❖ Deter it in the first instance
- ❖ Detect it quickly
- ❖ Investigate it efficiently and in accordance with the law; and
- ❖ Prosecute offenders when appropriate
- ❖ Make it as easy as possible for staff, Members and the Public to report concerns

In order to prosecute;

- The evidence must be collected according to local procedures and in accordance with the necessary laws, which currently include the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE), the Criminal Procedures Investigations Act 1996 (CPIA) and the Regulation of Investigatory Powers Act 2000 (RIPA).
- The Council must be satisfied that there is sufficient evidence to provide a 'realistic prospect of conviction', meaning that a jury or bench of magistrates or a judge hearing a case alone, properly directed in accordance with the law, is more likely than not to convict the defendant of the charge alleged.
- If there is sufficient evidence to provide a realistic prospect of conviction, the Council must also be satisfied that it is in the public interest to prosecute.



The Council will when considering a prosecution, and throughout the course of a prosecution case, always adhere to the principles contained in the Code for Crown Prosecutors issued by the Director of Public Prosecutions.

In most cases, the Deputy Chief Executive and the Internal Audit Client Manager, and where appropriate in consultation with the Chief Executive, or another designated officer, will decide whether reporting the matter to the Police is appropriate. In exceptional circumstances the Internal Audit Client Manager may, after consultation with the Council's Borough Solicitor Legal Services section^[DB1], refer a matter to the Police direct without prior consultation with the Deputy Chief Executive or the Chief Executive. Cases will also be discussed with the Leader of the Council, and the relevant pPortfolio hHolder will be kept informed of progress.

In deciding whether a fraud should be reported to the Police, some of the following factors will be taken into consideration:

- The extent of the fraud/corruption in financial terms and how long the offence has lasted.
- The sufficiency and appropriateness of evidence.
- How the public interest will be best served.

In general, all cases will be reported to the Police. However, the decision as to whether to prosecute or not ultimately rests with the Police and the Crown Prosecution Service, although the Council reserves the right to instigate proceedings itself if it is considered necessary. Any action will be taken in accordance with underlying principles, which include the following:

- ❖ Each case will be examined on its own merits;
- ❖ All persons under suspicion will be treated fairly;
- ❖ Decisions will only be taken when the facts are known;
- ❖ The rules of Natural Justice will always prevail.

The Deputy Chief Executive (Section 151 Officer) in consultation with the Internal Audit Client Manager and the Borough Solicitor, will decide on the sanctions to be imposed should the case be deemed serious. These sanctions can include Ddisciplinary action, criminal prosecution, civil litigation or referral to professional accredited bodies.

Special arrangements are made in respect of Housing Bbenefit and Council Tax Benefit fraud, for which a dedicated investigation team is employed. They operate to a separate Housing Benefit Anti-Fraud Strategy and a Housing and Council Tax Benefit Prosecution Policy, which embrace the principles of the Council's wider Anti-Fraud, Anti-Corruption and Anti-Bribery Strategy.



Publicity

The Council's aim, and statutory responsibility, is to prevent the waste, theft and fraud of public money. With that in mind the Council has in place a wide range of measures aimed at preventing fraud, corruption and bribery. These include measures to prevent and deter the commission of offences.

One such deterrent measure is the publication of details of convictions obtained by the Council. The publicity surrounding a conviction for fraud has two positive effects. First, it deters others who may be seeking to commit such offences, and second it generates confidence in the general public that the Council takes a serious view of fraud and is proactive in seeking to prevent it.

The Council will therefore consider publishing the name and address of each person convicted of fraud, together with details of the offence(s) in question. In reaching a decision as to whether to publish the name(s) and address(es), the Council will take the following factors into consideration:

- The specific details of the offence committed.
- The public interest in disclosing personal information (for example, the deterrent effect referred to above).
- Whether the publication would be proportionate.
- The personal circumstances of the offender.
- Whether any other person may be affected by the publication (for example, family members).

This list is not exhaustive and other factors may be relevant in the circumstances of each individual case.

When, having considered the above factors, it is considered appropriate to publish details of a conviction, the Deputy Chief Executive, as Section 151 Officer to the Council, will record the reasons for the publication, and the Monitoring Officer, will maintain a central register of the records.

THIS POLICY IS NOT EXHAUSTIVE AND MAY BE SUBJECT TO CHANGE



~~[Version 1 Approved by June 2008 Audit Committee](#)~~
~~[Version 2 Endorsed by Audit Committee on 22 November 2011](#)~~
~~[Agreed by Council on 13 December 2011](#)~~
~~[Due for Revision in 2013](#)~~

[Version 1 Approved by June 2008 Audit Committee](#)
[Version 2 Endorsed by Audit Committee on 22 November 2011, Agreed by Council on 13 December 2011](#)
[Version 3 Proposed to November 2012 Audit Committee](#)
[Due for Revision in 2014](#)